IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

C. M	ICHAEL	GONZALEZ-A	GUILERA,)	
		Petitio	oner,)	2:12-cv-00687-TC
	v.)	ORDER
RICK	COURSE	Υ,)	ONDER
		Respon	dent.)	

Magistrate Judge Thomas M. Coffin filed Findings and Recommendation on July 5, 2012, in the above entitled case. The matter is now before me pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(B) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b). When either party objects to any portion of a magistrate judge's Findings and Recommendation, the district court must make a de novo determination of that portion of the magistrate judge's report. See 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); McDonnell Douglas Corp. v. Commodore Business Machines, Inc.,

656 F.2d 1309, 1313 (9th Cir. 1981), <u>cert</u>. <u>denied</u>, 455 U.S. 920 (1982).

Petitioner has timely filed objections. I have, therefore, given <u>de novo</u> review of Magistrate Judge Coffin's rulings.

I find no error. Accordingly, I ADOPT Magistrate Judge Coffin's Findings and Recommendation filed July 5, 2012, in its entirety. Respondent's motion to dismiss (#8) is allowed. Petitioner's petition (#1) is denied without prejudice. This proceeding is dismissed. The clerk of court is directed to enter judgment accordingly.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this

day of

2012

UNITED STATES DISTRICT